

# Saving and improving lives with transplantation.

August 23, 2022

Jon Snyder, PhD Director Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients 701 Park Avenue, Suite S-4.100 Minneapolis, MN 55415

Dear Dr. Snyder:

On behalf of the American Society of Transplant Surgeons (ASTS), I want to take this opportunity to thank you and your SRTR colleagues for organizing an extremely productive Task 5 Consensus Conference. ASTS very much appreciates your solicitation of our formal comments on the issues raised by the Task 5 initiative and the inclusion of several transplant surgeons who serve in ASTS leadership positions on the Consensus Conference agenda.

As indicated in our formal comments, ASTS continues to believe that the current fivestar transplant center rating system's focus on relatively small differences in patient and graft survival inadvertently increases risk aversion among transplant programs, thereby exacerbating waitlist times. Consensus Conference comments suggest that the patient community agrees that the five-star rating system should be significantly reformed or eliminated. Patient representatives generally expressed substantially less interest in small percentage points difference in outcomes than in information that would allow them to compare how living and deceased transplantation outcomes compare with each other and with the outcomes of alternative treatment modalities (e.g., dialysis in the case of kidney transplantation). We encourage the SRTR to proceed in the direction suggested by these commenters, thereby ensuring that patients can meaningfully take part in their care.

Based on the comments given by patients at the Consensus Conference, it appears that patients need more information displayed in a clear manner regarding access to transplantation. Patients expressed strong interest in obtaining easily understandable information to assess whether a transplant program is likely to waitlist a patient "like them" and whether, once on the list, they are likely to get transplanted. Along these lines, there is strong interest in ensuring that each transplant center's candidate listing criteria is clearly displayed online, along with information regarding whether, and to what extent, each center waitlists patients with specific risk factors (e.g., high BMI, age, various pre-existing medical conditions). Other factors that appear relevant to patients include information regarding each transplant center's testing practices (e.g. whether the transplant center generally accepts the results of tests performed at other transplant center requires recipients to stay near the hospital for a specific period of time post-transplant). We have at this time an amazing opportunity to transform the way data is collected so that SRTR can provide patients with access to standardized

**President** William C. Chapman, MD Washington University

#### President-Elect

Elizabeth A. Pomfret, MD, PhD University of Colorado

## Secretary

Ginny L. Bumgardner, MD, PhD The Ohio State University

#### Treasurer

James F. Markmann, MD, PhD Harvard Medical School

#### **DEI Officer**

Henry B. Randall, MD, MBA SSM Health Saint Louis University

**Immediate Past President** A. Osama Gaber, MD Houston Methodist Hospital

Past President Marwan S. Abouljoud, MD, CPE, MMM Henry Ford Transplant Institute

#### Councilors-at-Large

Kenneth A. Andreoni, MD Devin E. Eckhoff, MD Irene K. Kim, MD Linda C. Cendales, MD Ty B. Dunn, MD, MS Jayme E. Locke, MD, MPH André A. S. Dick, MD Majella B. Doyle, MD, MBA Sunil K. Geevarghese, MD, MSCI Ashley H. Seawright, DNP, ACNP-BC

Executive Director Maggie Kebler-Bullock, CFRE

### **National Office**

1401 S. Clark St. Suite 1120 Arlington, VA 22202 703-414-7870 asts@asts.org ASTS.org

American Transplant Congress June 3–7, 2023 San Diego, California transplant center practices.

ASTS shares the considerable interest expressed by patients and others in strengthening the focus on equity in access and increased access to transplantation for all. We also share the interest expressed by other speakers in reducing the number or organs procured but not transplanted and fully support the view that the term "organ discard" should be avoided in SRTR's public-facing website. One idea expressed at the conference that ASTS strongly supports is that SRTR should base metrics around the goal of increasing use of available organs. Reducing organ discard falls in line with ASTS' mission to increase access to transplantation for more patients.

Finally, the ASTS believes that all information needed to facilitate our patients' journey across the "transplant subway" should be made publicly available. We would urge, however, that in redesigning the SRTR website, SRTR would clearly define a set of quality improvement measures and remove technical data that is not useful to patients. Additionally, we suggest that collected data be kept within the realm of quality improvement. By design such quality data requires examination, validation, action plans and outcomes from reviews, and the interference by publishing this data would be harmful to reporting, sharing and working on issues of quality. SRTR should begin the process by clearly distinguishing between the types of information that can and should be publicly displayed and more sensitive quality-related information that should be released only to the transplant center involved. Public release of the latter has the potential to result in a myriad of unanticipated consequences that may jeopardize access to high quality care.

Again, congratulations on a pulling together an important and highly productive conference, and we look forward to working with you on next steps.

Sincerely yours,

Withow C. Chegoma

William Chapman, MD, FACS President American Society of Transplant Surgeons